MINUTES OF A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

OF THE PUEBLO CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

June 9, 1948

A special meeting of the Board of Directors of the Pueblo Conservancy District was held at the office of the District, 113 West Fifth Street, at 2:00 P. M. o'clock on June 9, 1948.

Directors Present: John M. Holmes, W. T. Mathis and Frank Pryor

The minutes of the meeting of March 31, 1948, were read and approved by all present.

The following Vouchers were read and approved:

11257	J. E. Creel, Co. Treas.	1.50
11258	James Froney	15.00
11259	Earl H. Dunlap, Sheriff	3.25
11260	Mountain St. T & T Co.	3.00
11261	J. C. Creel, Co. Treas.	1.50
11262	A. G. Kochenberger, County Clerk	6.25
11263	J. E. Creel, Co. Treas.	153.28
11264	Charles M. Rose	250.00
11265	Mountain St. T & T. Co.	1.17
11266	J. C. Creel, Co. Treas.	11.90
11267	Charles M. Rose (Apr)	100.00
11268	Elbert D. Greene (Apr)	194.30
11269	Collector Internal Revenue	30.70
11270	Elbert D. Greene	50.00
11271	J. E. Creel, Co. Treas.	9.85
11272	First National Bank	20,000.00
11273	First National Bank	10,687.50
11274	Mountain St. T & T Co.	1.76
11275	Greene & Company	125.00
11276	Charles M. Rose (May)	100.00
11277	Elbert D. Greene (May)	199.50
11278	Collector Internal Revenue	25.50
11279	Elbert D. Greene	50.00
11280	J. E. Creel. Co. Treas.	1.00
11281	Pueblo Title Guaranty Co.	39.00
11282	Blackburn Engineering Co.	4.00
11283	J. E. Creel, Co. Treas.	1.00
11284	John M. Holmes	10.00
11285	W. T. Mathis	10.00
11286	Frank Pryor	10.00
		2000

Upon motion of Mr. Mathis, seconded by Mr. Pryor, the sale of Certificates listed below was approved because they were sold in a manner believed to be in the best interest of the District and the property holder:

Receipts Pa	ges 358	369 inclusive			
Certs Nos.	98755;	99979; 101039	14.	.76 A	rthur Baros
	97650;	98800; 100035	187.	.70 E	. T. Pate
	94725	(Partial)	25.	.00 I	zzi - Rocco
	75047	,	5.	.34 R	. B. Thurman
	92831;	94649; 96292; 97570	•		
	98710;	99961; 101024; 1023	30 155.	.60 J	. J. Andrews
	85433;	88081; 90844; 92832	;		
	94650:	96293; 97571; 98711	•		
	99962:	101025; 102331	247.	.46 J	. J. Andrews
	98922		17.	.98 F	rank Herrera
		92852; 94665; 96308	;		
		98752; 99976; 10103			
	102342	-	•	.63 W	m & Carl A. Milne
		94666; 96309; 97586	:		
		99977; 101037; 1023		.09 W	m & Carl A. Milne
		102332	2,705.	.74 S	emuel S. Ginsberg
	,,,,		, ,	·	(Vail Hotel)
	100128		17.	.98 F	rank Herrera
	94725	(Balance)	36.	.62 I	zzi-Rocco
	7.4.4.4	, — · ·	_		

The decision of the District Court regarding validity of Conservancy taxes on property acquired by Treasurer's Deed issued on County Tax Certificate was discussed. Attached hereto and made a part hereof are newspaper clippings regarding the decision. The Secretary reported that Charles M. Rose, who is taking an appeal of this decision to the Colorado Supreme Court, hopes to be able to argue the case before the Court in September.

The Secretary called attention to the recent purchase of property described as Lots 12-13- Block 59, Hobson's Sub., by Frank Masciantonio. The seller, Mrs. Fannie Friedman, agreed in January that if we withheld applying for a Tax Title on this property she would pay the Conservancy taxes from the proceeds of a pending sale. Instead, the property was sold subject to unpaid Conservancy taxes. Mr. Pryor made a motion which was seconded by Mr. Mathis that the Secretary proceed at once to make application for Tax Title.

The Secretary called attention to the fact that there are a number of properties on which there are delinquent Conservancy assessments represented by Certificates of Purchase and that in his opinion the owners would pay all of these taxes if they were advised that if they failed to do so within six months, the District would apply for Tax Titles.

The Secretary believed the best plan to put into operation regarding these properties was to ask each owner to agree to pay the delinquent assessments on or before six months and to agree to pay interest @ 6% per annum on the amount involved from the date of the Agreement until paid.

It was the thought of the Secretary that during the six months period each owner could raise funds to pay the amount stated in the Agreement. If an owner fails to sign the Agreement, the District will apply for Tax Title without delay. If the owner signs the Agreement and fails to pay on the due date stated in the Agreement, the District will without notice apply for Tax Title.

Mr. Pryor moved and Mr. Mathis seconded the motion which was unanimously carried that the Secretary try the plan in connection with all properties where it seemed feasible.

The Secretary reported that during the past thirty days, on various occasions, Engineers from the Army Engineer's headquarters at Albuquerque, New Mexico, had called on him and reported that all together eleven representatives of that office had called. Some of them merely wanted to get an idea as to what records were available in the Conservancy District files while some of the man were here for the purpose of making topographical maps of the area just east of the Santa Fe Avenue bridge.

Engineers Francis Elmore and C. F. Gould started on May 25th to superintend digging of test pits in the dirt fill on the levees back of the concrete slabs. The labor for digging these pits is being furnished by the Conservancy District through the Blackburn Engineering Company. At the time of this meeting (June 9th), one pit had been completed. No report as to the findings has been made to the Conservancy District nor have any reports been made to the District as to what data any of the Engineers have acquired.

S. F. Elliot, as Engineer for the District, is keeping an eye on the activities of the crew digging the test pits and the Secretary is also making inspections of the operations as they proceed.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Ellet Deures

58

District Judge Rules Against **Pueblo Conservancy District**

District Judge Herry Leddy Thursday exposed a crack in the financial structure of the Pueblo Flood Conservancy district, the agency created after the devastating 1921 flood to harness the unruly Arkansas river.

1946, but also those which would have fallen due annually against the property from 1947 until 1955. Leddy's ruling was in line with a recent supreme court decision, holding that lien of general taxes is superior to that of special assessments Effects of that ruling on municipally backed pavement and sewer district assessments are lready well known but the Flori already well known, but the Flor-man case was the first testing the status of the conservancy district assessments.

Judge Leddy said in a written opinion that he was "reluctant to hold" that the high court ruling applied in the conservancy districts case, but added that the conclusion was inevitable under a clause in the supreme court opinion which said: "Thru no visitation of

special improvement tax shall general tax levies be impaired."

Charles M. Rose, attorney for the conservancy district, had argued that it was an entity of the the conservancy district, had returning to private ownersury argued that it was an entity of the state government created by the legislature for statewide benefit and that hence its assessments originally totaled four and one-were on a par with general taxes and not comparable to the levies finance the Pueblo flood control project. The bonds are due to be paid out in 1955.

The Florman property involved

harness the unruly Arkansas river.

In what was generally regarded as a test case, Judge Leddy cancelled the conservancy district's lien against a parcel of real estate which the Florman Manfacturing Co. had acquired by redeeming delinquent general taxes.

RULING SET ASIDE UNPAID ASSESSMENTS

The ruling set aside not only unpaid conservancy district assessments for the years 1926 thru 1946, but also those which would have fallen due annually against the property from 1947 until 1955. acquired by tax deed.

acquired by tax deed.

It was impossible immediately to estimate the number of parcels acquired by tax deed under circumstances similar to the Flormaturansaction, but there obviously transaction, but there obviously are many because the flood bank-rupted numerous property owners, forcing them to let real estate go for taxes.

PROPERTY NEVER RETURNED TO THE TAX ROLLS

TO THE TAX ROLLS

In most cases, the district collected annual assessments from the new owners and maintained its supposed lien against property which never returned to the tax rolls. Cancellation of the supposed lien, while detrimental to the financial structure of the conservancy district, probably will result in some long-idle property returning to private ownership and the general tax rolls.

The liens were part of the

The Florman property involved in the test case lies near its plant at 190 Central Main. It became tax delinquent in 1922, over three years before the conservancy assessments (none of which was ever paid) were levied against it. It is title metal the idle until the Florman edeemed the general taxes the conservancy assessments.

CONSERVANCY TAX DECISION MAY BRING FLOOD OF SUIT

Close on the heels of a successful to finance flood protection, but the test case, a second suit to cancel district's general financial solvency Pueblo Flood Conservancy district assessments against real estate acquired by general tax deed was Meantime Charles M. Rose, a.

acquired by general tax deed was filed in district court Wednesday.

The new action, seeking to nullify the conservancy district's lien against four lots at Ninth and Craig, was filed by Bert Moore, local real estate broker, not long after the Florman Manufacturing Co. successfully prosecuted the same type of suit in the court of Judge Harry Leddy.

Leddy's opinion outlawed not only delinquent, but also future, conservancy district assessments acquired by general taxes was held to be superior to those of an improvement district—a sidewalk district, relieved of delinquent assessments

until he acquired a general tax deed on May 12, 1948. The latter date is just five days after Leddy's

original opinion.

BLOW MAY NOT PROVE

CRIPPLING ONE, BELIEF

Attorneys predicted after the Florman suit, which was generally regarded as a test case, that regarded as a test case, that numerous suits for similar relief would be filed since aftermaths of would be filed since aftermaths of the 1921 flood included many tracts under the conservancy going to tax sale.

While the ruling, if sustained in the supreme court, will tend to weaken the financial structure of the conservancy district, it is not believed that the blow will be a crippling one. The disputed as-sessments were regarded as part of the security behind bonds issued

moore similarly asked that he be ment district—a sidewalk district, relieved of delinquent assessments to 1931 and future levies thru 1955.

Moore recited in his complaint that general taxes on his tract became delinquent in 1930, the property remaining off the tax rolls taxes because the levies paid for statewide, and even national, until he acquired a veneral tax.

Chieftein June 3 1948